

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,149	08/08/2001	Manoj Abraham	020431.0770	3112
7	590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L.L.P.			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
Suite 600 2001 Ross Avenue			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 7:	5201-2980		3627 DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/925,149	MANOJ					
·	Examiner	Art Unit	VII I				
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627	IUU/				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	daress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	larch 2002.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16,25 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	n □ a	(DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/925,149

. Art Unit: 3627

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8,26 drawn to a method of generating an order plan, classified in class 705, subclass 28.
- II. Claims 9-16,25 drawn to system of generating an order plan, classified in class 709, subclass 238.
- III. Claims 17-24, drawn to logic of generating an order plan, classified in class 717, subclass 168.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Atty. Kennerly on 4/28/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 17-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-16, 24, 25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,149

. Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milne et al.

Kennedy et al disclose access data describing a plurality of priority levels, each priority level comprising at least one item request (read as the criteria col. 5 lines 36-66 and cols. 7-8 items 1-4. Kennedy et al. disclose a downstream planning logic which for each priority level and for each item request of a priority level as follows: Kennedy et al. in col. 7 lines 6-20 discloses planning an order for an item request of a current priority level according to a plurality of recorded unplannable network components (read as those others than those one or two in group 2, an unplannable network component being unable to satisfy an item request (read as the unplannable material availability network component). Kennedy disclose determining that those in Group 1 will be served and which in group 2 should be made late based upon "due date"; this is read as providing an order plan comprising the orders planned for the item requests at each priority level.

However, Kennedy appears to be silent regarding access data describing a supply chain network comprising a plurality of network components, each network component operable to supply one or more items to satisfy an item request. However, Milne et al disclose plural network components (all manufacturer entities) which are operable to supply one or more items to satisfy an item request, namely parts A,B,C It would be obvious to modify Kennedy et al. to include the plural network components the motivation being the better understanding of manufacture problems downstream before they manifest. The steps of validating and recording the validated the unplannable network components for the current priority level is deemed an old and notorious step and official notice is hereby taken thereof lending no patentable weight to the claims.;

Re claims 18 and 21,23, 24: see Kennedy col. 3 line 5 for buffer usage, notwithstanding the use of a buffer is deemed as an old and notorious expedient of the art.

Re claims 19, 20, and 22: Kennedy disclose determining that those in Group 1 will be served and which in group 2 should be made late based upon "due date" is read as an operation and the failure to provide material is read as the an infeasible period and the this determination is read as one of capacity.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

MM A Fram Exmy 3627